

asset allocation masterclass



[Asset Allocation Masterclass 2010]

Allocating between developed and developing markets

Tim Farrelly Principal, farrelly's Investment Strategy



Where are we going?

- Does high GDP growth mean high EPS growth?
- Will returns be higher?
- What are the long term risks?
- How much diversification do we get?



Where are we going?

- Does high GDP growth mean high EPS growth?
- Will returns be higher?
- What are the long term risks?
- How much diversification do we get?



Are we getting access to the growth?

| Sector | Emerging markets weight |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Industrials | 7.7 |
| Consumer goods | 7.1 |
| Consumer services & healthcare | 5.7 |
| Mobile telecommunications | 7.1 |
| Computer and software services | 1.5 |
| Domestic GDP growth beneficiaries | 29.6% |



Source : FTSE All World Index

Are we getting access to the growth?

| Sector | Emerging markets weight |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Industrials | 7.7 |
| Consumer goods | 7.1 |
| Consumer services & healthcare | 5.7 |
| Mobile telecommunications | 7.1 |
| Computer and software services | 1.5 |
| Domestic GDP growth beneficiaries | 29.6% |
| Oil and gas | 15.2 |
| Basic materials | 14.4 |
| Financials (ex REITs) | 27.8 |
| Utilities & Fixed line Telcos | 6.2 |
| Technology hardware & equipment | 7.2 |
| The rest | 70.4% |



Source : FTSE All World Index

Does high GDP growth = high EPS growth?

- Japan : 1955 to 1990
- US : 1875 to 1929
- Singapore : 1972 to 1997





Translates into relentless earnings growth...

Japanese EPS in Yen Tems



But in real terms EPS growth very modest even in the good years...



US not a typical high growth story...EPS much the same though

US Real GDP v EPS Real Growth 1875-1929



Again, super GDP growth translates into disappointing EPS growth



farrelly's

Where are we going?

- Does high GDP growth mean high EPS growth?
- Will returns be higher?
 What are the long term risks?
 How much diversification do we

oet?



It's not just about earnings...

- Dividends
- Earnings growth
- PE expansion
- Currency gains



Japanese PE growth was substantial...



Boom and bust in the US



farrelly's

Singapore: more boom & bust...





| FORECASTS August 2010 | Emerging markets | | Europ | be |
|---|------------------|-----|-------------|-----|
| Dividend yield | | 2.4 | | 3.3 |
| Nominal GDP growth Dilution Return to trend EPS growth | Real 6%pa | 9.0 | Real 1.5%pa | 3.0 |
| PE expansion | | | | |
| Total Return (Local \$) | | | | |
| Currency | | | | |
| Total Return (A\$) | | | | |



| FORECASTS August 2010 | Emerging markets | | Euroj | be |
|---|------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Dividend yield | | 2.4 | | 3.3 |
| Nominal GDP growth Dilution Return to trend EPS growth | Real 6%pa | 9.0 -3.0 | Real 1.5%pa | 3.0 -2.0 |
| PE expansion | | | | |
| Total Return (Local \$) | | | | |
| Currency | | | | |
| Total Return (A\$) | | | | |



| FORECASTS August 2010 | Emerging markets | | Euroj | oe | | |
|-------------------------|------------------|------|-------|-------------|------|-----|
| Dividend yield | | | 2.4 | | | 3.3 |
| Nominal GDP growth | Real 6%pa | 9.0 | | Real 1.5%pa | 3.0 | |
| Dilution | | -3.0 | | | -2.0 | |
| Return to trend | ROE 14.4% | -2.0 | | ROE 10.4% | +2.5 | |
| EPS growth | | | 4.0 | | | 2.0 |
| PE expansion | | | | | | |
| Total Return (Local \$) | | | | | | |
| Currency | | | | | | |
| Total Return (A\$) | | | | | | |



| FORECASTS August 2010 | Emerging markets | | Euroj | be |
|-------------------------|------------------|------|-------------|------|
| Dividend yield | | 2.4 | | 3.3 |
| Nominal GDP growth | Real 6%pa | 9.0 | Real 1.5%pa | 3.0 |
| Dilution | | -3.0 | | -2.0 |
| Return to trend | ROE 14.4% | -2.0 | ROE 10.4% | +2.5 |
| EPS growth | | 4.0 | | 2.0 |
| PE expansion | 14.4>14.0 | -0.3 | 14.8>17.5 | 1.7 |
| Total Return (Local \$) | | | | |
| Currency | | | | |
| Total Return (A\$) | | | | |



| FORECASTS August 2010 | Emerging markets | | Euroj | be | |
|-------------------------|------------------|------|-------------|------|-----|
| Dividend yield | | 2.4 | | | 3.3 |
| Nominal GDP growth | Real 6%pa | 9.0 | Real 1.5%pa | 3.0 | |
| Dilution | | -3.0 | | -2.0 | |
| Return to trend | ROE 14.4% | -2.0 | ROE 10.4% | +2.5 | |
| EPS growth | | 4.0 | | | 2.0 |
| PE expansion | 14.4>14.0 | -0.3 | 14.8>17.5 | | 1.7 |
| Total Return (Local \$) | | 6.1 | | | 7.0 |
| Currency | | | | | |
| Total Return (A\$) | | | | | |



| FORECASTS August 2010 | Emerging markets | | Europ | be |
|-------------------------|------------------|--------|-------------|--------|
| Dividend yield | | 2.4 | | 3.3 |
| Nominal GDP growth | Real 6%pa | 9.0 | Real 1.5%pa | 3.0 |
| Dilution | | -3.0 | | -2.0 |
| Return to trend | ROE 14.4% | -2.0 | ROE 10.4% | +2.5 |
| EPS growth | | 4.0 | | 2.0 |
| PE expansion | 14.4>14.0 | -0.3 | 14.8>17.5 | 1.7 |
| Total Return (Local \$) | | 6.1 | | 7.0 |
| Currency | Unhedged | 2.0 | Hedged | 2.0 |
| Total Return (A\$) | | 8.1%pa | | 9.0%pa |



Where are we going?

- Does high GDP growth mean high EPS growth?
- Will returns be higher?
- What are the long term risks?
- How much diversification do we get?



China and India, March 2007.

| FORECASTS | China | India |
|-------------------------|--------|--------|
| March 2007 | | |
| Divs | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| EPS growth | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| PE expansion | -1.1 | -3.9 |
| Total Return (Local \$) | 4.3 | 1.2 |
| Currency | 3.0 | 2.0 |
| Total Return (US\$) | 7.3%pa | 3.2%pa |
| PE Now (PE 2016 = 17) | 18.9 | 23.9 |

Emerging markets What could go wrong?

| FORECASTS August 2010 | Emerging markets Base case | | Emerging Pessim | markets istic |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------|--------|--------------------|------------------|
| Dividend yield | | 2.4 | | 2.4 |
| Nominal GDP growth | Real 6%pa | 9.0 | Real 5%pa | 10.0 |
| Dilution | | -3.0 | | -5.0 |
| Return to trend | ROE 14.4% | -2.0 | ROE >10% | -4.0 |
| EPS growth | | 4.0 | | 1.0 |
| PE expansion | 14.4>14.0 | -0.3 | 14.4>13.0 | -1.1 |
| Total Return (Local \$) | | 6.1 | | 2.3 |
| Currency | Unhedged | 2.0 | Unhedged | -2.0 |
| Total Return (A\$) | | 8.1%pa | | 0.3%pa |



Where are we going?

- Does high GDP growth mean high EPS growth?
- Will returns be higher?
- What are the long term risks?
- How much diversification do we get?



Diversification benefits?

| Sector | Emerging markets | Australia | Developed World |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------|--------------------|
| Industrials | 7.7 | 5.9 | 10.8 |
| Consumer goods | 7.1 | 3.2 | 12.6 |
| Consumer services & healthcare | 5.7 | 13.1 | 18.0 |
| Mobile telecommunications | 7.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 |
| Computer and software services | 1.5 | 0.6 | 4.1 |
| Domestic GDP growth beneficiaries | 29.6% | 22.8% | 47.2% |
| Oil and gas | 15.2 | 6.9 | 9.4 |
| Basic materials | 14.4 | 25.6 | 6.9 |
| Financials (ex REITs) | 27.8 | 33.4 | 20.8 |
| REITs | 0.0 | 6.1 | 1.3 |
| Utilities & Fixed line Telcos | 6.2 | 5.2 | 7.0 |
| Technology hardware & equipment | 7.2 | 0.0 | 7.5 |
| The rest | 70.4% | 77.2% | 52.8% |



Source : FTSE All World Index

This is not straightforward!

- High GDP growth does not mean high EPS growth
- Total returns depend on price as well as growth
- Risks are very real
- Diversification benefits may not be as good as hoped



Disclaimer.

This presentation has been prepared on the basis that it is only for the exclusive use of the person for whom it was provided. Although information is derived from sources considered and believed to be reliable and accurate, farrelly's, it's employees, consultants, advisers and officers are not liable for any opinion expressed or for any error or omission that may have occurred in this presentation. Any forecasts included are reasonably believed to be reliable based on current information but due to our inability to predict future events with certainty, they cannot be guaranteed. This presentation is of a general nature only and has been prepared without taking into account any persons particular investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs.



China, India and Brazil, August 2010

| FORECASTS August 2010 | China | India | Brazil |
|-------------------------|---------|--------|--------|
| Dividend yield | 2.4 | 1.0 | 3.1 |
| EPS growth | 4.8 | 2.5 | 2.9 |
| PE expansion | 4.3 | -3.2 | 0.0 |
| Total Return (Local \$) | 4.3 | 1.2 | 6.0 |
| Currency | 3.0 | 2.0 | -2.0 |
| Total Return (US\$) | 14.6%pa | 3.2%pa | 4.0%pa |
| PE Now (PE 2016 =15) | 9.8 | 20.7 | 14.0 |



Spotlight on Brazil...

| | Forecast | Optimistic forecast |
|-------------------------|----------|---------------------|
| Yield | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| EPS Growth ¹ | 5.0 | 7.5 |
| PE expansion | -1.4 | 1.0 |
| Total (R\$ terms) | 7.0% | 11.9%* |
| PE Now | 14.9 | 14.9 |
| PE 2020 | 13.0 | 16.3 |

1. EPS 20% ahead of trend. High ROE. Inflation is 4.5 to 5%pa



Can good managers pick the eyes out of this market?

| Perf. to 30 Oct 2009 | 1 yr | 5yrs | 10yrs |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|----------|
| Russell EM (Class S) (US\$) | 63.7 % | 16.6 %pa | 11.2 %pa |
| MSCI EM index (US\$) | 64.1% | 16.8 %pa | 11.2 %pa |

The managers

- AllianceBernstein
- Arrowstreet Capital
- Genesis Asset Managers
- Harding Loevner
- T. Rowe Price International
- UBS Global Asset Management

Source : Russell Funds 2009 Annual report



Can good managers pick the eyes out of this market?

| Perf. to 30 Oct 2009 | 1 yr | 5yrs | 10yrs |
|-----------------------------|--------|----------|----------|
| Russell EM (Class S) (US\$) | 63.7 % | 16.6 %pa | 11.2 %pa |
| MSCI EM index (US\$) | 64.1% | 16.8 %pa | 11.2 %pa |

The managers

- AllianceBernstein
- Arrowstreet Capital
- Genesis Asset Managers
- Harding Loevner
- T. Rowe Price International
- UBS Global Asset Management

Source : Russell Funds 2009 Annual report



What do we get?

| Sector | Emerging markets | ROE | PE |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------|------|----------|
| Industrials | 7.7 | 13.8 | 13.3 |
| Consumer goods | 7.1 | 17.6 | 19.3 |
| Consumer services & healthcare | 5.7 | 18.0 | 21.5 |
| Mobile telecommunications | 7.1 | 23.9 | 13.5 |
| Computer and software services | 1.5 | 30.3 | 21.6 |
| Domestic GDP growth beneficiaries | 29.6% | | |
| Oil and gas | 15.2 | 15.8 | 9.7 |
| Basic materials | 14.4 | 9.6 | 21.4 |
| Financials 60 % Brazil, India & China | 27.8 | 15.9 | 12.4 |
| Utilities & Fixed line Telcos | 6.2 | 10.0 | 14.5 |
| Technology hardware & equipment | 7.2 | 6.8 | 31 |
| The rest | 70.4% | | |
| | • | | farrelly |

Sources of emerging market returns

- Dividends
- Earnings growth
- PE expansion
- Currency gains



Does inflation matter?

| Real Japanese EPS growth | 5% |
|---------------------------------|-----|
| Plus high inflation | 8% |
| = Nominal Jap EPS growth (Yen) | 13% |
| Less currency loss if PPP holds | -5% |
| =Nominal Jap EPS growth (US\$) | 8% |

vs US EPS growth of 5% real + 3% inf 8%

But what if PPP doesn't hold?



... currency pushed things along...



And currency gains were real...



A triple bunger....

| | 1955-1965 | 1965-75 | 1975 - 85 |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Divs | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| EPS growth | 6.4 | 7.9 | 5.9 |
| PE expansion | 6.1 | 3.7 | 5.3 |
| Total Return (Yen) | 15.4 | 13.6 | 13.2 |
| Currency | 0.0* | 1.7 | 4.3 |
| Total Return US\$ | 15.4 | 14.3 | 16.5 |
| Total Return US Equities | 11.0 | 3.3 | 14.3 |

*Currency pegged to US\$

farrelly's

A triple bunger....

| | 1955-1965 | 1965-75 | 1975 - 85 |
|--------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Divs | 3.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| EPS growth | 6.4 | 7.9 | 5.9 |
| PE expansion | 6.1 | 3.7 | 5.3 |
| Total Return (Yen) | 15.4 | 12.6 | 12.2 |
| Currency | 0.0* | 1.7 | 4.3 |
| Total Return US\$ | 15.4 | 14.3 | 16.5 |
| Total Return US Equities | 11.0 | 3.3 | 14.3 |

*Currency pegged to US\$

All of the difference due to: PEs: -4.7%pa vs 3.7%pa & Currency : +1.7%pa

arrelly's

No triple bunger here...

| | 1973-1983 | 1983-93 | 1993 - 05 |
|------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Divs | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| EPS growth | 10.1 | 2.6 | 7.8 |
| PE expansion | 1.1 | 2.8 | -7.6 |
| Total Return (Sing \$) | 13.3 | 7.2 | 1.3 |
| Currency | 1.3 | 2.8 | 0.2 |
| Total Return (US\$) | 14.6%pa | 10.0%pa | 1.5%pa |
| Total US Equities | 10.3%pa | 15.6%pa | 11.0%pa |

*Currency pegged to US\$



The US 1895 to 1929...



Fairly solid yields throughout...



No great PE expansion...



A stable currency, with a little growth



farrelly's

But relatively high inflation not punished...

US\$ vs British Pound



Nice at first.....

| US equities : drivers of returns | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| | 1895-1905 | 1905-15 | 1915-25 |
| Divs | 4.4 | 3.4 | 4.5 |
| EPS growth | 10.4 | 2.8 | 3.6 |
| PE expansion | -2.3 | -1.3 | 1.2 |
| Total (US\$) | 12.5 | 4.9 | 9.3 |
| Currency | 0.0* | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total (Stg) | 12.5 | 5.0 | 9.5 |

*Currency pegged to pound sterling



...and much better than the UK

| US equities : drivers of returns | | | |
|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|---------|
| | 1895-1905 | 1905-15 | 1915-25 |
| Divs | 4.4 | 3.4 | 4.5 |
| EPS growth | 10.4 | 2.8 | 3.6 |
| PE expansion | -2.3 | -1.3 | 1.2 |
| Total (US\$) | 12.5 | 4.9 | 9.3 |
| Currency | 0.0* | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total (Stg) | 12.5 | 5.0 | 9.5 |
| UK market performance | 1.6 | 0.9 | 5.8 |

*Currency pegged to pound sterling

farrelly's

Tiger, tiger burning bright!

• Singapore 1972- 1990



Fluctuating PE ratios....





A strong currency...



farrelly's

...driven by lower inflation



farrelly's

No triple bunger here...

| | 1973-1983 | 1983-93 | 1993 - 05 |
|------------------------|-----------|---------|-----------|
| Divs | 2.1 | 1.8 | 1.1 |
| EPS growth | 10.1 | 2.6 | 7.8 |
| PE expansion | 1.1 | 2.8 | -7.6 |
| Total Return (Sing \$) | 13.3 | 7.2 | 1.3 |
| Currency | 1.3 | 2.8 | 0.2 |
| Total Return (US\$) | 14.6%pa | 10.0%pa | 1.5%pa |
| Total US Equities | 10.3%pa | 15.6%pa | 11.0%pa |

*Currency pegged to US\$





asset allocation masterclass

